Summary of FSU Student and Faculty Responses To the 2002-03 Academic Integrity Study

How do students learn about FSU policies regarding academic integrity or cheating?

Most undergraduate and graduate students stated that they learned about FSU's policies regarding academic integrity and cheating primarily from the faculty, followed by the student handbook and the first year orientation programs. Other sources of information were not viewed as highly beneficial. Graduate students may be less aware or have fewer sources of information regarding academic integrity policies at FSU than undergraduate students, since almost one-quarter of graduate students did not select specific sources of information.

Table 1 Amount learned about academic integrity or cheating policies on campus based on Learned Learned Learned No source of information. Little Some a Lot Response Faculty Undergraduates 4% 57% 3% 36% Graduates 8% 38% 44% 9% TAs Undergraduates 35% 39% 19% 7% Graduates 49% 18% 8% 25% Student Handbook 49% Undergraduates 26% 19% 6% Graduates 24% 16% 17% 43% First year orientation program Undergraduates 27% 52% 14% 7% Graduates 27% 36% 15% 23% **RA/Faculty Advisor/Academic Advisor** 54% 27% 8% Undergraduates 11% Graduates 46% 19% 10% 25% **Other Students** 7% Undergraduates 59% 27% 8% Graduates 49% 22% 6% 23% **FSU Website** 7% Undergraduates 66% 24% 8% Graduates 51% 19% 7% 24% **Deans or Other Administrators** 6% Undergraduates 66% 19% 9% Graduates 17% 50% 8% 25% Other Undergraduates 21% 1% 2% 77%

Graduates

16%

3%

3%

78%

What Constitutes Cheating and How Serious is it?

Although students, teaching assistants and faculty classify many of the same behaviors as cheating, there is less agreement on the severity of the offense. For instance, at least 94% of the students, teaching assistants and faculty considered "writing or providing a paper for another student" to be serious cheating. However, only 67% of the undergraduate students compared to 91% of the faculty considered this action to be serious cheating. Approximately 85% of the graduate and teaching assistants felt that the behavior was serious cheating.

There were also some differences reported in the frequency with which students participate in various cheating behaviors and how often teaching assistants and/or faculty have observed the behavior occurring on campus. Note for instance, "copying a few sentences of material from a written source without footnoting them in a paper." No more than one-third of the undergraduate and graduate student surveyed stated that they had "plagiarized" written material without acknowledging the source in the last year. However, two-thirds of the faculty and more than two-fifths of the teaching assistants stated that they had observed the behavior at least once in the last 3 years.

ASSIGNMENTS: Specific cheating behaviors*	Never	Once	More than Once	Not Applicable	Not Cheating	Trivial Cheating	Moderate Cheating	Serious Cheating
Turning in work done by someone else								
Undergraduates	90%	5%	5%	2%	5%	16%	29%	51%
Graduates	95%	2%	1%	3%	4%	3%	11%	82%
Teaching Assistants	46%	13%	24%	17%	3%	5%	18%	74%
Faculty	42%	15%	35%	8%	1%	3%	14%	82%
In a course requiring computer work, copying a friend's program rather than doing your own. Undergraduates	63%	3%	2%	32%	4%	9%	37%	50%
Graduates	63%	2%	2 % 1%	34%	4%	5%	22%	50 <i>%</i> 69%
Teaching Assistants	29%	1%	7%	63%	4%	3%	20%	73%
Faculty	24%	2%	9%	65%	1%	4%	14%	81%
Receiving unpermitted help on an assignment								
Undergraduates	70%	13%	10%	7%	19%	43%	30%	9%
Graduates	84%	8%	3%	5%	8%	30%	41%	20%
Teaching Assistants	48%	4%	18%	30%	6%	25%	48%	21%
5					3%	14%	52%	31%

* Undergraduates and Graduates were asked how frequently they engaged in specific behaviors; Teaching Assistants and Faculty were asked how often they observed specific behaviors.

Table 2A (continued)

ASSIGNMENTS: Specific cheating behaviors*	Never	Once	More than Once	Not Applicable	Not Cheating	Trivial Cheating	Moderate Cheating	Serious Cheating
Working on an assignment with others when instructor asked for ndividual work								
Undergraduates	53%	19%	22%	7%	18%	50%	26%	7%
Graduates	76%	13%	8%	4%	9%	29%	41%	20%
Teaching Assistants	38%	7%	27%	28%	4%	23%	57%	16%
Faculty	40%	6%	34%	20%	2%	13%	57%	28%
Sharing an assignment with another student								
Undergraduates	33%	25%	40%	2%	53%	30%	13%	4%
Graduates	50%	18%	29%	3%	43%	28%	21%	8%
Teaching Assistants	42%	8%	29%	21%	33%	30%	27%	10%
Faculty	42%	4%	40%	15%	30%	24%	35%	11%
Jsing false excuse to obtain extension on due date.								
Undergraduates	80%	13%	5%	3%	13%	28%	32%	27%
Graduates	87%	7%	2%	3%	9%	22%	32%	37%
Teaching Assistants	47%	10%	27%	17%	5%	21%	41%	34%
Faculty	55%	10%	26%	10%	4%	16%	46%	34%
Cheating on a written assignment in any other way.								
Undergraduates	78%	1%	<1%	21%	18%	7%	18%	57%
Graduates	81%	1%	0%	18%	12%	4%	12%	72%
Teaching Assistants	45%	2%	5%	47%	12%	1%	19%	67%
Faculty	53%	2%	4%	42%	5%	4%	15%	76%

* Undergraduates and Graduates were asked how frequently they engaged in specific behaviors;

Teaching Assistants and Faculty were asked how often they observed specific behaviors.

Table 2B

TESTS: Specific cheating behaviors*	Never	Once	More than Once	Not Applicable	Not Cheating	Trivial Cheating	Moderate Cheating	Serious Cheating
Copying from another stud during a test or exam with her knowing it.								
Undergradu	ates 87%	7%	5%	2%	2%	3%	13%	82%
Graduates	94%	1%	1%	4%	2%	1%	8%	89%
Teaching As	ssistants 47%	13%	20%	20%	3%	2%	7%	89%
Faculty	53%	12%	27%	9%	1%	1%	9%	89%
Copying from another stud during a test with his or he knowledge.								
Undergradu	ates 88%	6%	4%	2%	3%	4%	14%	79%
Graduates	95%	1%	<1%	4%	3%	1%	9%	87%
Teaching As	ssistants 54%	12%	16%	19%	2%	1%	9%	89%
Faculty	63%	10%	18%	10%	<1%	1%	6%	93%
Helping someone else che test.	at on a							
Undergradu	ates 87%	6%	4%	3%	3%	5%	18%	75%
Graduates	95%	1%	1%	4%	3%	2%	12%	82%
Teaching As	ssistants 56%	11%	13%	21%	3%	1%	12%	84%
Faculty	68%	8%	14%	10%	<1%	1%	10%	89%
Using unpermitted crib no a test.	tes during							
Undergradu	ates 91%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	20%	74%
Graduates	93%	2%	1%	4%	4%	3%	12%	82%
Teaching As	ssistants 59%	8%	8%	24%	2%	2%	10%	85%
Faculty	67%	8%	12%	13%	1%	1%	14%	84%

TESTS: Specific cheating behaviors*	Never	Once	More than Once	Not Applicable	Not Cheating	Trivial Cheating	Moderate Cheating	Serious Cheating
Altering graded test to try to get additional credit.								
Undergraduates	95%	2%	<1%	3%	5%	4%	19%	72%
Graduates	92%	<1%	<1%	7%	5%	3%	14%	79%
Teaching Assistants	59%	7%	5%	29%	6%	2%	13%	80%
Faculty	70%	7%	7%	16%	1%	2%	9%	89%
Getting Q/A from someone who has taken test								
Undergraduates	62%	16%	19%	3%	12%	25%	32%	32%
Graduates	81%	6%	8%	6%	9%	12%	26%	53%
Teaching Assistants	58%	6%	14%	22%	6%	11%	22%	62%
Faculty	61%	5%	17%	17%	3%	4%	17%	76%
Cheating on a test in any other way.								
Undergraduates	77%	2%	1%	20%	16%	7%	16%	61%
Graduates	80%	<1%	<1%	19%	10%	5%	10%	75%
Teaching Assistants	45%	3%	4%	48%	11%	1%	13%	74%
	52%	3%	3%	42%	7%	2%	17%	75%

Table 2B (continued)

* Undergraduates and Graduates were asked how frequently they engaged in specific behaviors;

PAPERS: Specific cheating behaviors	Never	Once	More than Once	Not Applicable	Not Cheating	Trivial Cheating	Moderate Cheating	Serious Cheating
Copying material almost word for word from a written source and								
turning it in as your own work.								
Undergraduates	90%	5%	2%	4%	3%	4%	19%	74%
Graduates	93%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	13%	81%
Teaching Assistants	44%	11%	19%	25%	3%	3%	10%	84%
Faculty	43%	13%	31%	13%	0%	1%	9%	90%
Turning in a paper obtained in large part from a paper "mill" /website that charged for this info.	0.424		404	001	404	501	0001	0001
Undergraduates	94%	<1%	<1%	6%	4%	5%	23%	68%
Graduates	94%	0%	<1%	6%	4%	4%	12%	81%
Teaching Assistants	57%	3%	4%	36%	4%	3%	12%	81%
Faculty	63%	3%	8%	26%	1%	2%	6%	92%
Turning in a paper obtained in large part from a free term paper "mill" or ebsite								
Undergraduates	91%	2%	1%	6%	4%	6%	24%	66%
Graduates	92%	1%	<1%	6%	4%	4%	14%	79%
Teaching Assistants	53%	6%	7%	35%	3%	4%	14%	79%
Faculty	59%	4%	11%	26%	1%	2%	7%	90%
Writing or providing a paper for another student								
Undergraduates	90%	5%	2%	3%	4%	6%	23%	67%
Graduates	96%	1%	1%	2%	3%	4%	9%	85%
Teaching Assistants	62%	6%	8%	24%	3%	2%	9%	86%

Teaching Assistants and Faculty were asked how often they observed specific behaviors.

Table 2C (continued)

PAPERS:								
Specific cheating behaviors	Never	0	More than	Not	Not	Trivial Chaoting	Moderate	Serious
Turning in a paper copied from	Never	Once	Once	Applicable	Cheating	Cheating	Cheating	Cheating
another student.								
Undergraduates	92%	4%	1%	4%	4%	7%	32%	57%
Graduates	94%	2%	<1%	4%	3%	3%	19%	74%
Teaching Assistants	57%	7%	10%	26%	3%	3%	18%	77%
Faculty	64%	9%	11%	16%	<1%	1%	14%	85%
Providing a previously graded assignment to someone to submit as								
their own work.								
Undergraduates	89%	6%	3%	2%	4%	9%	39%	57%
Graduates	95%	2%	1%	3%	4%	5%	15%	77%
Teaching Assistants	68%	5%	6%	21%	3%	3%	14%	80%
Faculty	71%	9%	9%	11%	1%	2%	7%	90%
Copying a few sentences of material								
from a written source without footnoting them in a paper.								
Undergraduates	57%	19%	19%	5%	10%	37%	36%	18%
Graduates	74%	13%	10%	3%	6%	23%	34%	37%
Teaching Assistants	25%	9%	35%	31%	5%	22%	42%	31%
Faculty	19%	6%	60%	15%	2%	18%	51%	29%
Copying a few sentences from an Internet source without footnoting them in a paper.								
Undergraduates	56%	21%	18%	5%	10%	36%	34%	21%
Graduates	75%	12%	9%	4%	7%	22%	34%	38%
Teaching Assistants	33%	7%	39%	30%	5%	23%	40%	32%
					1			

Table 2D

FABRICATIONS: Specific cheating behaviors*	Never	Once	More than Once	Not Applicable	Not Cheating	Trivial Cheating	Moderate Cheating	Serious Cheating
Fabricating or falsifying lab data.								
Undergraduates	64%	6%	4%	27%	6%	20%	36%	38%
Graduates	68%	2%	<1%	30%	4%	6%	20%	70%
Teaching Assistants	32%	3%	5%	69%	4%	5%	22%	69%
Faculty	36%	1%	3%	61%	1%	1%	5%	92%
Fabricating or falsifying research data.								
Undergraduates	72%	4%	1%	23%	5%	15%	37%	43%
Graduates	78%	2%	1%	19%	4%	4%	19%	73%
Teaching Assistants	39%	3%	3%	55%	3%	3%	17%	77%
Faculty	47%	5%	3%	46%	1%	1%	3%	95%
Fabricating or falsifying a bibliography.								
Undergraduates	75%	10%	4%	11%	8%	26%	37%	29%
Graduates	86%	4%	2%	9%	4%	13%	32%	51%
Teaching Assistants	45%	6%	8%	40%	3%	10%	30%	57%
Faculty	56%	9%	9%	26%	1%	5%	31%	62%

* Undergraduates and Graduates were asked how frequently they engaged in specific behaviors;

MATERIALS: Specific cheating behaviors	Never	Once	More than Once	Not Applicable	Not Cheating	Trivial Cheating	Moderate Cheating	Serious Cheating
Hiding library or course mater	rials.							
Undergraduates	90%	1%	<1%	9%	26%	20%	25%	30%
Graduates	94%	1%	1%	4%	15%	14%	24%	46%
Teaching Assistan	ts 62%	2%	5%	31%	18%	13%	31%	38%
Faculty	73%	5%	5%	18%	10%	11%	32%	47%
Damaging library or course materials.								
Undergraduates	91%	1%	<1%	8%	35%	14%	21%	30%
Graduates	96%	<1%	1%	3%	22%	8%	18%	51%
Teaching Assistan	ts 64%	1%	2%	33%	26%	7%	26%	41%
Faculty	73%	4%	4%	19%	14%	6%	22%	69%

How Do Faculty and Students Rate FSU's Academic Integrity Policies and Procedures?

There is no consensus in how students, teaching assistants and faculty rank FSU on various academic integrity factors. What is consistent is that undergraduate students tend to give FSU the highest rating on all factors and faculty rate the university lowest on each factor. For instance, while 60% of undergraduate students gave FSU high or very high ratings on the severity of the penalties for cheating, only 15% of the faculty gave similar ratings on the same factor. This disparity continued even when the groups were asked about the faculty's understanding of the campus' policies on cheating; 76% of undergraduates rated the university highly, compared to only 25% of the faculty. The ratings provided by the graduate students and teaching assistants remained fairly equal and more closely aligned with the ratings given by the undergraduates than with the ratings of the faculty.

Rating FSU on academ	ic integrity factors	Very Low		Medium		Very High	Not Applicable
The severity of penalties	for cheating						
ý i	Undergraduates	1%	3%	18%	37%	23%	18%
	Graduates	3%	7%	26%	26%	13%	26%
	Teaching Assistants	5%	14%	31%	23%	6%	20%
	0		0 40/	200/	1 20/	2%	19%
	Faculty	6%	24%	36%	13%	270	1970
	f campus policies						
	f campus policies Undergraduates	5%	19%	37%	26%	8%	4%
	f campus policies Undergraduates Graduates		19% 28%			8% 6%	4% 11%
Student understanding of concerning cheating	f campus policies Undergraduates	5%	19%	37%	26%	8%	4%

Table 3

Table 3 (continued)

Rating FSU on academic	integrity factors	Very Low		Medium		Very High	Not Applicabl
The faculty's understand	ling of these						
policies							
	Undergraduates	1%	2%	15%	38%	39%	16%
	Graduates	1%	3%	19%	39%	27%	12%
	Teaching Assistants	1%	8%	30%	35%	19%	8%
	Faculty	5%	21%	42%	20%	5%	8%
TA's understanding of th	ase nolicies						
TA 5 understanding of th	Teaching Assistants	5%	18%	30%	29%	14%	4%
	<u>v</u>						
Student support of these							
	Undergraduates	3%	13%	39%	28%	7%	11%
	Graduates	2%	12%	33%	26%	8%	19%
	Teaching Assistants	5%	17%	36%	18%	4%	20%
	Faculty	8%	17%	29%	14%	2%	30%
Faculty support of these		40/	00/	4.40/	000/	000/	400/
	Undergraduates	1%	2%	14%	36%	38%	10%
	Graduates	1%	3%	15%	38%	28%	16%
	Teaching Assistants	3%	5%	22%	37%	22%	13%
	Faculty	2%	9%	26%	32%	11%	19%
TA's support of these po							
	Teaching Assistants	3%	7%	25%	37%	22%	13%
-							
The effectiveness of the		40/	400/	040/	000/	440/	4.00/
	Undergraduates	4%	12%	31%	26%	11%	16%
	Graduates	5%	15%	29%	22%	7%	23%
	Teaching Assistants	8%	25%	32%	15%	3%	17%
	Faculty	13%	28%	33%	7%	2%	18%

Is cheating a serious problem at FSU? If so, who should be responsible for monitoring the academic integrity of students?

Students, teaching assistants and faculty displayed ambiguity in their responses to the statement "cheating is a serious problem here," since between 45% and 54% neither disagreed nor agreed with the statement. However, undergraduate students and faculty expressed different opinions about several aspects of FSU's Academic Honor System. For example, 56 percent of undergraduate students disagreed (or strongly disagreed), compared to the 61% of the faculty who agreed that students should be held responsible for the conduct of other students. On a more positive note, the majority of students and teaching assistants agreed that the academic honor process at FSU is fair and impartial.

Level of agreement integrity related s	nt with the following academic tatements	Disagree strongly				Agree strongly
Cheating is a serie	ous problem here.					
	Undergraduates	7%	29%	45%	16%	3%
	Graduates	5%	19%	54%	17%	6%
	Teaching Assistants	3%	22%	38%	26%	11%
	Faculty	1%	12%	47%	28%	12%
Our academic hor	nor process is fair and impartial.					
	Undergraduates	2%	6%	25%	56%	12%
	Graduates	2%	5%	40%	45%	8%
	Teaching Assistants	2%	8%	38%	42%	10%
	Faculty	3%	7%	46%	40%	4%
	be held responsible for ademic integrity of other					
	Undergraduates	22%	34%	21%	19%	4%
	Graduates	13%	28%	20%	28%	10%
			000/	400/	200/	1 6 0/
	Teaching Assistants	6%	26%	13%	39%	16%

Table 4

Most undergraduate and graduates students are not likely to report cheating if they observed it.

There appears to be a high level of tolerance for cheating among FSU graduate and undergraduate students. An overwhelming majority of undergraduate students (80%) stated that it was unlikely that they would report an incident of cheating that they had observed. Although graduate students were more likely to report cheating than undergraduates, still slightly more than half (56%) stated that they would not likely report cheating if they saw it occur. This was particularly true if the student cheating was a close friend of theirs. Interestingly enough, the majority of undergraduate and graduate students did not believe that the typical student at FSU would be likely to report cheating either.

Table 5

elihood of students re eating		Very Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very Likely
You would report an incoserved	ident of cheating that you				
	Undergraduate	32%	48%	17%	3%
	Graduate	18%	38%	33%	10%
The typical student at y violations	our school would report such				
	our school would report such Undergraduate Graduate	33% 24%	58% 60%	18% 15%	1% 1%
	Undergraduate Graduate				
violations	Undergraduate Graduate				

Faculty and TAs prefer failing grades as the sanction when a student is found cheating on a major test or written assignment.

When faculty and TAs were asked what actions are most likely taken when a student is found cheating, they stated that student will either be given a reprimand or warning, will receive a failing grade for the exam/assignment, or receive a grade reduction for the exam/assignment. However, faculty would prefer to see fewer reprimands and warnings and more failing grades on the assignments.

	actions taken if a student is found t or written assignment	Likely Action	Preferred Action
jj			
The student will be g	iven a reprimand or warning		
	Teaching Assistants	69%	36%
	Faculty	59%	29%
The student will rece	ive a failing grade for the		
exam/assignment			
	Teaching Assistants	50%	56%
	Faculty	45%	57%
	vive a grade reduction for		
test/assignment	Teophine Accietante	470/	200/
	Teaching Assistants	47%	32%
	Faculty	42%	30%
	equired to make up the		
exam/assignment	Tapphing Assistants	400/	040/
	Teaching Assistants Faculty	40% 34%	24% 23%
	Tacaty		2370
	iven a failing grade for the course Teaching Assistants	19%	27%
	Faculty	13%	26%
	Tacuity	1376	2078
The student will be p	laced on probation		
-	Teaching Assistants	17%	27%
	Faculty	9%	21%
The student will be s	uspended from school		
	Teaching Assistants	9%	12%
	Faculty	4%	9%
The student will be a			
The student will be e		00/	00/
	Teaching Assistants	9%	8%
	Faculty	2%	5%
Other			
	Teaching Assistants	1%	2%
	Faculty	2%	2%
Don't know			
	Teaching Assistants	4%	2%
	Faculty	9%	2%